

Wednesday, June 29, 2022

14:00-1630 hours

Web- Ex

ATTENDEES: Mr. T. Allen, Mr. J. Brock (2nd VC), Ms. R. Choja, Ms. L. Conley, Dr. R. Dinniwell (xo), Ms. K. Haines, Mr. J. Leitch, Mr. T. Marcus, Ms. P. Retty (Chair), Mr. K. Ross, Dr. T. Mele (xo), Ms. H. Wade, Mr. B. Woods, Mr. M. Wilson (1st VC), Mr. J. Wright, Ms. C. Young-Ritchie (xo), Dr. J. Schleifer Taylor (CEO)

REGRETS:

HEALTHCARE PARTNERS: B. Morrill, T. Brown, R. Butler, N. Tahir, J. Yoo

RESOURCES: T. Eskildsen, RA Conyngham

GUESTS: C. Dickey

1.0 CALL TO ORDER

Any conflict of interest must be declared at the time the meeting is called to order or at such time as one arises in the meeting. Please see Consent Agenda Guidelines on page 2.

1.1 Patient Experience

2.0 REVIEW OF AGENDA (2 MIN)

2.1 Approval of Agenda

It is recommended that the Full Agenda for the June 29, 2022 Board meeting be approved as written.
(A separate approval will occur for the Consent Agenda)

3.0 PRIORITY AGENDA (15 MIN)


3.1 Chair/Chief Psychiatry (Guest: Chandlee Dickey)

J. Schleifer Taylor

A brief overview of the Psychiatry program at LHSC will be reviewed

4.0 RECOMMENDATIONS/REPORTS (80 MIN)

ITEM	PRESENTER	OBJECTIVE	TIME
4.1 Chair's Report <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Q4 Board Priorities Report Update 	P. Retty	Report/Update and provide a progress report on the Board's priorities	10 min
4.2 CEO Report	J. Schleifer Taylor	To provide an environmental scan of the events of the last 30 days	10 min
4.3 Quality and Culture Committee <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Southwest Ontario Regional Base Hospital Program (SWORBHP) – Regional Program Advisory Committee Terms of Reference 	B. Woods	Report/Update on highlights from the meeting and present recommendations to the Board	10 min
4.4 Children's Hospital Committee	K. Ross	Report/Update	10 min
4.5 Resource and Audit Committee <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Internal Audit Charter Recommendation Non-Emergency Patient Transfer Recommendation 2022/23 Capital Budget Recommendation 	T. Marcus	Report/Update and present recommendations to the Board	15 min
4.6 Medical Advisory Committee <ul style="list-style-type: none"> New Appointments to Professional Staff Clinical Fellow Appointments Changes to Professional Staff Appointments 2022-23 PS Reappointment Recommendation PS Departure Report Chief of Medical Imaging Chief of Otolaryngology Chief of Paediatrics PS Do Not Reappoint 	R. Dinniwell	Present recommendations on MAC's behalf for the purposes of the appointment of Chiefs and other business.	15 min







4.7 Governance and Transition Committee <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Expedited Credentialing Recommendation to Rescind 	M. Wilson	Report and present recommendations to the Board	10 min
5.0 HEALTH CARE PARTNER UPDATES (30 MIN)			
5.1 Children's Health Foundation	B. Morrill	Report/Update	5 min
5.2 Professional Staff Organization	T. Mele	Report/Update	5 min
5.3 Western University	J. Yoo	Report/Update	5 min
5.4 St. Joseph's Health Care, London	N. Tahir/R. Butler	Report/Update	5 min
5.5 Lawson Health Research Institute	J. Wright	Report/Update	5 min
5.6 London Health Sciences Foundation	T. Brown	Report/Update	5 min
6.0 CONSENT AGENDA (5 MIN) It is recommended that the Consent Agenda for the June 29, 2022 Board of Directors meeting, consisting of the recommendations found in Section 6 be approved.			
6.1 Board of Directors Minutes May 31, 2022 			
7.0 WRITTEN UPDATES			
8.0 ADJOURNMENT			

CONSENT AGENDA GUIDELINES

The board's parliamentary authority—American Institute of Parliamentarians Standard Code of Parliamentary Procedure—explains the Consent Agenda. Organizations having a large number of routine matters to approve often save time by use of consent agenda. This is a portion of the printed agenda listing matters that are expected to be non-controversial and on which there are likely to be no questions.

Before taking the vote, the presiding officer (chair) allows time for the members to read the list to determine if it includes any matters on which they may have a question, or which they would like to discuss or to oppose. Any member has a right to remove any item from the consent agenda, in which case it is transferred to the regular agenda so that it may be considered and voted on separately. A member may ask a question to clarify a consent agenda item without removing it from the consent agenda, but if this proves to be more than a clarification, the presiding officer can insist that it be removed and placed on the regular agenda. The remaining items are then unanimously approved en bloc without discussion, saving the time that would be required for individual votes

London Health Sciences Centre makes use of an Ethical Decision-Making Framework for clinical and organizational issues. The VALUES framework is intended to both remind staff of their obligation to speak up where they have concerns, and to use a systematic approach to values-based reasoning.

V	<u>V</u>oice your concerns	<input type="checkbox"/> Is a decision or action making you feel uncomfortable? <input type="checkbox"/> What is at the root of this feeling? <input type="checkbox"/> Talk it through with a colleague or leader. <input type="checkbox"/> Frame the ethical question. <input type="checkbox"/> Consult ethics for support in voicing concerns.	
A	<u>A</u>ccess Resources	<input type="checkbox"/> Whose responsibility is it to answer the question? <input type="checkbox"/> Review the Ethics Intranet page . <input type="checkbox"/> Apply the ChELO checklist , or Ethical Process Checklist . <input type="checkbox"/> Consult ethics for assistance in identifying or applying resources.	
L	<u>L</u>earn about the issue	<input type="checkbox"/> Review relevant policies, laws, professional statements. <input type="checkbox"/> Identify ethical principles. <input type="checkbox"/> What information do you need to make a judgment? <input type="checkbox"/> Has the issue been dealt with previously? <input type="checkbox"/> Is this issue happening in other areas or locations?	
U	<u>U</u>nderstand perspectives	<input type="checkbox"/> Identify those impacted <input type="checkbox"/> How/where should stakeholders be? <input type="checkbox"/> What are differing viewpoints/perspectives? <input type="checkbox"/> Which <u>values</u> are relevant?	
E	<u>E</u>valuate the options	<input type="checkbox"/> Outline realistic options. <input type="checkbox"/> Balance competing values, principles, obligations, and responsibilities. <input type="checkbox"/> Build arguments for each option <input type="checkbox"/> Make a reasoned decision.	
S	<u>S</u>ustain learnings	<input type="checkbox"/> Communicate decision with consideration to the individual, unit, organizational impact. <input type="checkbox"/> Set short and long-term targets to review the decision <input type="checkbox"/> Consider how to build organizational memory.	

Last Reviewed: Corporate Ethics Council, October 2020